

M5.3 Draft technical specification on the national metadata catalogue – public consultation questions

1 Part A questions for generic feedback

These questions will be asked in each public consultation to provide an understanding of the recipients' demographics, the quality of the document and to gather generic feedback. Questions marked with an asterisk are mandatory.

1.1 Demography

Country*

Sweden

Type of the responder*

Academic or research institution

Sector*

Education and academia

Organisation size*

Large enterprise (250+ employees)

Professional role/function

1.2 Quality

From your perspective, how ready is the document to meet the expected needs?*

Minor additions/changes needed

What is the level of quality of the document?*

4 (Very High)

Is the document easy to understand?*

4 (very clear and easy to understand)

How well does the document address the key issues and challenges related to its subject matter?*

4 (very well)

How feasible and implementable do you find the guidelines or technical specifications presented in the document?*

4 (very feasible and implementable)

1.3 Generic feedback

Do you have any suggestions for improving the document? Are there any additional topics or areas that should be covered? [Please provide feedback and ideas for enhancing the document] [max. 750 characters]

page 21: dataset descriptions in other formats

The text could be clearer about the responsibility of the data conversion. One solution could be that the coordinating HDAB is responsible to provide tools for conversion and uploading.

page 30: FAIR

Here the reference to the initial FAIR publication could be added,

<https://www.nature.com/articles/sdata201618>.

2 Part B questions for specific feedback

Do you expect the technical specification for national dataset catalogue to affect you or your work?*

Yes

Do you find it clear which actors will be affected by the technical specification? If not, please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

Are the responsibilities of HDAB and Data Holders appropriately and clearly described? If not, please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

What are your thoughts on the terminology used in the document? Is it clear and appropriate for the context? Please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

Are the purposes and goals provided in section 3 clearly described? If not, please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

From your perspective, how do you perceive the scope of the specification outlined in section 4?* [Too narrow, Appropriate, Too wide, Unclear, Other (please specify)]

Do you think the methods described in Annex 5 are appropriate for achieving the intended objectives? If not, please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

Are the user stories listed in section 5 appropriate and clearly described? Are there any user stories you believe are missing? Please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

Do you think the requirements listed in section 6 are appropriate and adequately categorised (e.g., mandatory, recommended, optional)? Are there any requirements you believe are missing? Please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

The document does not include specifications on non-functional requirements in section 6. Do you think non-functional requirement would be needed? If yes, please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

Are the capabilities outlined in section 7 adequate for the intended purpose? Are there any capabilities you believe are missing? Please explain your answer. [Open text answer]

What is missing from the specification for Member States to develop a national metadata catalogue? Please explain. [Open text answer]