

M6.2 Draft guideline for data users on good application and access practice – public consultation questions

Om vi inte har några svar på frågorna här, kan vi bara skriva: "No comments from UU on this document".

1 Part A questions for generic feedback

These questions will be asked in each public consultation to provide an understanding of the recipients' demographics, the quality of the document and to gather generic feedback. Questions marked with an asterisk are mandatory.

1.1 Demography

Country*

Sweden

Type of the responder*

Academic or research institution

Sector*

Education and academia

Organisation size*

Large enterprise (250+ employees)

Professional role/function

1.2 Quality

From your perspective, how ready is the document to meet the expected needs?*

[Early draft, Major additions/changes needed, Minor additions/changes needed, Only final editorial changes needed]

What is the level of quality of the document?* [Rate 1 (Low) – 4 (Very High)]

Is the document easy to understand?* [Rate 1 (not clear nor easy to understand) – 4 (very clear and easy to understand)]

How well does the document address the key issues and challenges related to its subject matter?* [Rate 1 (not well) – 4 (very well)]

How feasible and implementable do you find the guidelines or technical specifications presented in the document?* [Rate 1 (not feasible and implementable at all) – 4 (very feasible and implementable)]

1.3 Generic feedback

Do you have any suggestions for improving the document? Are there any additional topics or areas that should be covered? [Please provide feedback and ideas for enhancing the document] [max. 750 characters]

2 Part B questions for specific feedback

Do you have previous experience applying for electronic health data? Please choose one. [No / Yes, at a national level / Yes, at international level / Yes, at both national and international level]

What perspective describes best your affiliation? Please choose one. [The perspective of a data user / The perspective of a data holder / The perspective of an HDAB / None of the above]

Before reading the deliverable, how would have you rated your ability to fill out the data access / data request application correctly? [Rate 1 (not able at all) – 4 (very well able)].

Now, after reading the deliverable, how would you rate your ability to fill out the data access / data request application correctly? [Rate 1 (not able at all) – 4 (very well able)].

Is chapter 6 clear and easy to understand? [Rate 1 (not clear nor easy to understand) – 4 (very clear and easy to understand)].

If there are specific topics missing in chapter 6, please elaborate on these below. [Please provide feedback, max. 750 characters].

If there are parts of chapter 6 that are superfluous, please elaborate on these below. [Please provide feedback, max. 750 characters].

Is chapter 7 clear and easy to understand? [Rate 1 (not clear nor easy to understand) – 4 (very clear and easy to understand)].

If there are specific topics missing in chapter 7, please elaborate on these below [Please provide feedback, max. 750 characters].

If there are parts of chapter 7 that are superfluous, please elaborate on these below.
[Please provide feedback, max. 750 characters].

Is chapter 8 clear and easy to understand? [Rate 1 (not clear nor easy to understand) – 4 (very clear and easy to understand)].

If there are specific topics missing in chapter 8, please elaborate on these below
[Please provide feedback, max. 750 characters].

If there are parts of chapter 8 that are superfluous, please elaborate on these below
[Please provide feedback, max. 750 characters].

Is chapter 9 clear and easy to understand? [Rate 1 (not clear nor easy to understand) – 4 (very clear and easy to understand)].

If there are specific topics missing in chapter 9, please elaborate on these below.
[Please provide feedback, max. 750 characters].

If there are parts of chapter 9 that are superfluous, please elaborate on these below.
[Please provide feedback, max. 750 characters].